

<sup>1</sup> These motions appear substantially similar, and therefore, this Opinion and Order addresses both motions.

inception and that Judge Sheridan is formally assigned to the Newark Vicinage, but at present physically sits in the Trenton Vicinage; and the Court having considered Plaintiff Torres's argument in support of his motion that this case should be reassigned to a District Judge that physically sits in the Newark Vicinage for the convenience of the parties, some of whom apparently reside in northern New Jersey (Defs.' Mot. Br.)(Docket Entry No. 49-3); and the Court having considered the parties' submissions without oral argument pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78; and the Court having concluded in its discretion that this case should not be reassigned because the geographic differential between Newark and Trenton is not great, and does not compel the reassignment of this case; and for good cause shown

IT IS THIS 7<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009, hereby

ORDERED that Defendants' motion to transfer vicinage (Docket Entry Nos. 49 and 50) is DENIED.

s/ Garrett E. Brown, Jr.  
GARRETT E. BROWN, JR., U.S.D.J.